

**MINUTES OF REGULAR MEETING,
BOARD OF EDUCATION, SCHOOL
DISTRICT #225, COOK COUNTY,
ILLINOIS, AUGUST 13, 2012**

A regular meeting of the Board of Education, School District No. 225 was held on Monday, August 13, 2012, at approximately 7:01 p.m. at Glenbrook South High School Student Center, pursuant to due notice of all members and the public.

The vice president called the meeting to order. Upon calling of the roll, the following members answered present:

Boron, Martin (departed 9:05 p.m.), Regalbuto, Taub

Absent: Hammer (arrived 7:07 p.m.), Shein (arrived 8:04 p.m.)

Also present: Caliendo, Freund, Geddeis, Pearson, Pryma, Riggle, Siena, Wegley, Williamson

APPROVAL OF AGENDA FOR THIS MEETING

Motion by Mr. Taub, seconded by Mr. Martin to approve the agenda for this meeting.

Upon calling of the roll:

aye: Boron, Martin, Regalbuto, Taub

nay: none

Motion carried 4-0.

RECOGNITION OF COMMUNITY VISITORS

None.

BOARD AND SUPERINTENDENT REPORTS

Dr. Riggle reported that one of the original Glenbrook faculty members, Micky Johnson, passed away recently. She volunteered at Glenbrook Hospital. Micky was a great person with a wonderful personality who attended many activities at the schools.

Dr. Riggle reported that new teachers reported today at both high schools. Orientation is this week. Next week all faculty will return.

Mr. Boron reported that he attended book sale on Friday at GBN. He received compliments from parents about the new bookstore vendor, Beck's.

MOTION TO APPROVE CONSENT AGENDA

Motion by Dr. Regalbuto, seconded by Mr. Taub to approve the following items on the consent agenda with the addition of an item under 5.1a.

- 1.) a. the appointment of the following certificated staff as recommended by the assistant superintendent for human resources

APPOINTMENTS

<u>NAME</u>	<u>POSITION</u>	<u>EFFECTIVE</u>	<u>SCHOOL</u>	<u>SALARY</u>
Clavey, Meaghan	Physical Education	08.21.12	GBN	.4 FTE BA, Step 1
Kelly, Courtney	Physical Education	08.21.12	GBS	.6 FTE BA, Step 1
Morello, Taylor	Student Services	08.21.12	GBS	.4 FTE, MA +30, Step 4

- 1.) b. the appointment of the following educational support staff contained in the assistant superintendent for human resources memorandum

APPOINTMENTS

<u>NAME</u>	<u>POSITION</u>	<u>EFFECTIVE</u>	<u>SCHOOL</u>
Goodworth, Catherine (Repl. J. Levit)	IA SpEd	08.21.12	GBN
Guerrero, Catalina (Repl. A. Campagna)	IA SpEd	08.21.12	GBN
Malnati, Melissa (Repl. J. Walsh)	IA SpEd	08.21.12	GBN

Pipkin, Stephen	IA Debate	08.21.12	GBN
Travers, Renee (Repl. J. Masri)	Dept. Sec. Tech	08.14.12	GBS
Wagner, Tina (Repl. J. Davito)	Dept. Sec. Mnt.	08.07.12	GBS
Miller, Alison	Dept. Sec. WL	08.14.12	GBS
Appel, Zach (Repl. K. Sorkin)	1:1 Aide SpEd	08.21.12	GBS
Benvenuti, Joy (Repl. E. McGinn)	IA	08.21.12	GBOC
Afendras, Marigo	1:1 Aide (PT)	08.21.12	GBOC
Shaoul, Brian (Repl. K. Bulger)	IA Student Services	08.21.12	GBS
Serikaku, Jill	IA Science (PT)	08.21.12	GBS
Pilotte, Nicole	IA English (PT)	08.21.12	GBS
Kandelman, Scott	IA Deans	08.21.12	GBN
Haggis, Matthew	IA Deans	08.21.12	GBN

2.) a. no resignations/termination of the following certificated staff

b. the resignation/termination of the following educational support staff contained in the memorandum dated August 13, 2012.

Personnel - Resignations/Terminations

NAME POSITION EFFECTIVE SCHOOL

Ferleger, IA May 21, 2012 GBS
Rhonda

3. the Board of Education review of the FOIA request contained in consent agenda item #5.3.

4. the issuance of Vendor Checks Nos. 53944 through 54041 in the amount of \$2,400,867.50 as listed on the attached checks register dated August 6, 2012.

5. the reimbursement of the Revolving Fund for Employees for the month of July in the amount of \$19,074.34 represented by checks No. 10827 through 10843, 10898 through 10905, 10918 through 10926. the reimbursement of the Revolving Fund for Vendors for the month of July in the amount of \$138,817.61 represented by checks No. 10844 through 10897, 10906 through 10917, 10927 through 11307. Checks issued in July voided in July: No.10869. Check issued in previous months, voided in July: No. N/A.

6. the Open and Closed Session Minutes from the July 30, 2012 Regular Board Meeting. the minutes from July 19, 2012 Finance Committee Meeting and the minutes from the August 7, 2012 Facility Committee Meeting

7. the Board of Education Approval of NSSED Budget as contained in consent agenda item # 5.7.

8. the Board of Education Approval of Academic Attainment as contained in consent agenda item # 5.8.

9. the Board of Education Approval of Certified Rehires as contained in consent agenda item # 5.9.

10. the Board of Education Approval of FTE Adjustments as contained in consent agenda item # 5.10.

Upon calling of the roll:

aye: Boron, Hammer, Martin, Regalbuto, Taub

nay: none

Motion carried 5-0.

DISCUSSION/ACTION: FY 13/14 SMALL BUILDING PROJECTS

Dr. Ptak touched upon the summer of 2012 construction projects. The biggest project was the GBS turf field. Dr. Wegley presented a video of the turf field construction.

A photo of the GBS scoreboard displaying corporate sponsorship was shown.

Mr. Boron commented on the high quality of the turf field.

Dr. Ptak mentioned that due to the lack of rain the turf field was completed two to three weeks early.

Dr. Ptak reviewed the small building projects for the summer of 2013. She explained that no Board approval is needed tonight. Dr. Ptak outlined the timeline for bids and other components of the process. At the next meeting the administration will ask that the projects be approved in concept so that bids can be obtained in January.

Dr. Ptak explained that starting with the summer of 2013-14 each building will contribute \$250k over a period of 5 years towards the turf fields.

Mrs. Siena stated that when the budget is presented next year it will show respective building budget reductions for turf fields.

The first project that Dr. Ptak explained was the expansion of the maintenance, shipping and receiving area at GBS. Mr. Freund explained the rationale for the need for expansion in this area.

Dr. Ptak stated that the GBN list is smaller because GBN is allocating \$125k towards the turf field contribution during 2013-2014. At GBN a science classroom will have the addition of windows to let natural air into the classroom. The administration researched improving room ventilation and found that \$30-45k would be needed to increase air flow. The cost of windows is \$3250 per window.

Mr. Taub asked if teachers would open the windows during the winter time.

Mr. Pryma spoke of the value of fresh air in the classroom.

Dr. Ptak stated that the GBN main gym is in need of painting. This is an area too big for the maintenance staff to paint. The library and broadcasting area blocks will be painted, as well. The CPA wood trim is in need of repair and replacement.

DISCUSSION/ACTION: FY13/14 ROOFING PROJECTS

Dr. Ptak stated that it was a light year for roofing. The only project in this category is to add 1300 square feet of roofing at GBS for the maintenance expansion.

Mr. Boron asked if the \$18k for GBS roofing is included in the GBS small building project.

Dr. Ptak stated that this was not included as part of the small building project.

Mr. Taub asked if the cost of roofing is any better given the economy.

Mr. Sauer from ARCON stated that this was not the case.

DISCUSSION/ACTION: FY13/14 PAVING & FENCING PROJECTS

Dr. Ptak stated that during the summer of 2013 there is a lot of fencing to be done. The biggest item is replacing the football field perimeter fences. This is original fencing. The fence around the track also needs to be replaced at GBN.

Mr. Taub asked if there would be enough gates to get in and out of the football field.

Mr. Sauer stated that this level of detail has not been worked out, but there has been discussion regarding the need for additional gates.

Mr. Boron asked if the GBS fencing would be impacted by the road by the hospital.

Dr. Wegley stated that it depends on how this is resolved with the hospital and water drainage.

Dr. Ptak stated that the bigger paving project will be tied to a traffic study conducted at the main entrance of GBS. This study will specifically look at traffic flow at drop-off and pick-up. Pedestrian and vehicle traffic will be safer.

Dr. Wegley explained changes that will enhance traffic flow for student drop-off. Phase one is to clean up pedestrian traffic. There is an issue with the volume of cars. Fencing will keep pedestrians on the sidewalk.

Mr. Taub asked if the village allows speed bumps.

Dr. Ptak confirmed that this is allowed.

Dr. Regalbuto raised a concern regarding traffic back-ups at the tracks on West Lake because of train traffic. She has observed people dropping students off before the train finishes. She also raised the issue of the Pace bus drop-off.

Dr. Wegley stated that there is a paraprofessional directing students dropped by the Pace bus.

Mr. Taub asked if the Glenview police can help with traffic.

Dr. Riggle stated that Glenview is not responsible for the west side of Pfingston. The district has had to work with IDOT to look at traffic flow on Lake, Pfingston and West Lake as a secondary road. The district will approach IDOT to obtain three lanes of egress. This is extremely expensive. It has taken months to get to this point.

Mike Sauer stated that IDOT has been open to the process. ARCON has some connections with the traffic engineer who works with IDOT.

Dr. Wegley stated that a few years ago IDOT changed the timing of the lights which did help some.

Mr. Boron asked if there was any more discussion of putting a fence on the west side. He expressed concern about students cutting across from the parking lot.

Dr. Riggle stated that there are very few students who come down Lake towards the school. This may be considered after the first two phases of the project are completed. A cross-walk will be added that will help with this.

Dr. Regalbuto asked if phase two is the three-lane egress.

Dr. Ptak confirmed that this was the case. She will regroup with the Facility Committee to update them on the IDOT discussion and will know more about cost at that time.

DISCUSSION/ACTION: FY13/14 LIFE SAFETY & INFRASTRUCTURE PROJECTS

Dr. Ptak stated that \$1.3M in referendum Build America bonds were issued and need to be spent. These will be used for the GBN running track. Both of the tracks were in the referendum plans.

Mrs. Siena stated that the running tracks were timed with the turf field work.

Dr. Ptak stated that the administration is working with the Village of Northbrook regarding water detention for the GBN turf field. The district received a usage agreement from the village relative to how the detention would work.

Mr. Boron asked about the MWRD process timeline.

Dr. Ptak stated that this is a 90-day timeline. The goal will be to start the process in the fall.

Mr. Boron asked if the administration had started the process previously.

Dr. Ptak stated that the district needs the village to sign-off on the drawings.

Dr. Ptak stated that GBN is also slated for main gym bleacher replacement. These are the original bleachers. The main gym floor needs refinishing, as well.

GBS will increase capacity of the fire panel so that additional zones will be added.

The GBS main gym lighting will be retrofit with LED lighting and the main gym ceiling requires painting. Lighting will be replaced over the orchestra pit.

Mrs. Siena stated that Build America Bonds are restricted to infrastructure. Painting at GBS qualifies because it is tied to the lighting project.

Dr. Riggle clarified that the total is right at \$1.3M and asked what happens if we are under on bids.

Dr. Ptak stated that depending on how the bids come in, some projects can be bid as alternates. The excess can be used for paving and roofing. These would qualify as infrastructure items.

DISCUSSION/ACTION: FY13/14 GBN ARTIFICIAL TURF FIELD

Dr. Ptak stated that both the GBN and GBS artificial surfaces were bid together and as a result a \$20k credit was earned. GBN had already bid the surface that came in \$64k under estimates. This includes the \$20k credit.

Dr. Ptak stated contingency was not used at GBS and this will lower the actual figure.

Mr. Boron asked about the contingency relative to architect and construction management fees. He asked if the 4% contingency was used at the GBS field.

Dr. Ptak stated that once all of the contracts are closed out the administration will know what that figure is.

DISCUSSION/ACTION: FOOD SERVICES - RECAP

Dr. Ptak described the rent-based agreement with Quest Food Service. Many private Catholic schools used this type of agreement with Quest and now other public schools going this direction. This year the district received a check from Quest for \$250k which represents 10% of their earnings.

Mrs. Siena stated that there is now a positive fund balance for food service.

Mr. Taub stated that he was surprised to see the previous \$1.2M deficit in this area. He asked under the rent-based agreement regarding equipment replacement in the kitchen - if the district would be responsible for the repair or replacement.

Mrs. Siena confirmed that this was the case.

Dr. Ptak referenced \$28k in expenses for the kitchen. The district owns the kitchen equipment.

Dr. Ptak stated that in addition to the rent received, Quest pays for free lunches and breakfasts for our students. This represents \$45k in free lunches and \$9k in free breakfasts.

Mr. Taub asked why the breakfast amount is so much lower.

Mrs. Siena stated that fewer students participate in the free breakfast program.

Mr. Boron asked about the Quest cap on free breakfast.

Dr. Ptak stated that it is a \$15k cap.

Dr. Ptak explained a new venture for Quest this year will be cooking all of the lunches for a Lincolnshire elementary district using the district's kitchen. The district will receive 10% of those sales.

Dr. Riggle stated that this is not the first time that the district's kitchens were used for this type of arrangement. The GBN kitchen used to provide lunches to Maple Junior High. The kitchens are robust enough to serve other schools.

Dr. Ptak referenced another Quest initiative in which they will take over snack vending machine sales with the district receiving 10% of the sales.

Dr. Ptak stated that there has been little price increases with Quest food.

Mr. Boron commented that Quest has been the most innovative vendor the district has worked with.

DISCUSSION/ACTION: BOOKSTORE - RECAP

Dr. Ptak reminded the Board that the district changed bookstore vendors this year from Follett to Beck's resulting in a \$64k savings or \$320k over a five-year contract. Beck's handled buy-back this year, as well. Parents received checks within a week. Book sale has been a very positive experience. Beck's provided eight managers at each school and many student workers. At GBS Beck's took over spirit-ware sales for the GBS Booster Club.

Mr. Boron asked if Beck's is also handling fees.

Dr. Ptak stated that Beck's is handling bursar functions.

Dr. Ptak referenced average textbook pricing. Beck's has many sources for buying used books which will provide for savings to families.

DISCUSSION/ACTION: DISTRICT INITIATIVES 2012-2013

Dr. Riggle reviewed the district initiatives. He mentioned that the tasks associated with each initiative were not included in the document. District initiatives were modified in response to Board feedback during a presentation in April at the Board retreat.

Dr. Riggle referenced a new category added to the district initiatives, student wellness. This will include a review of what is being provided in student services.

Dr. Riggle stated that a study of homework was added under curriculum. Administrators' goals will be based upon district initiatives.

Dr. Riggle stated that last year the district completed 12 out of 15 of the initiatives for an 80% completion rate. The administration will soon have one more initiative completed which will represent 87% of the initiatives completed. So far the administration has completed 86% of tasks from 2011-2012 and will

soon have completed 91% of tasks. Dr. Riggle commended the administrative team for their attention to completing the district initiatives.

Dr. Riggle stated that he is looking for a discussion and input from the Board regarding priorities within the initiatives. Dr. Riggle asked the Board for comments or suggestions.

Mr. Boron stated that he is not sure that he has a firm grasp of what is meant by student engagement.

Dr. Williamson explained that this initiative is relative to student academic engagement and focuses on the types of lesson planning and teaching strategies that have been shown to better engage students in the curriculum and enhance student learning.

Dr. Riggle explained other sources of data relative to engagement such as a student survey, teacher survey and classroom observations. This initiative will pinpoint strengths and weaknesses regarding how much quality time students are spending relative to classroom activities.

Mr. Shein asked about the over-arching goal of student achievement relative to this initiative.

Dr. Williamson explained that research indicates a strong connection between student engagement and student achievement.

Dr. Riggle stated that all of the initiatives relate to student achievement. Students also need to take more responsibility for their own learning. One outcome being that teachers will design activities to promote students' taking responsibility for their learning.

Mr. Hammer stated that this dovetails into the earlier presentation relative to how students are using technology.

Mr. Boron commented on the financial goal relative to evaluating where we are spending money in order to prioritize where money is spent. Mr. Boron questioned if the district should consider a study regarding prioritization of where money is being spent.

Dr. Riggle stated that this last occurred on a large scale in 2005 when building budgets were reduced by 10%. The administration is constantly looking at spending priorities. Because it is a negotiations year this is a good item to look at in terms of differentials. Dr. Riggle cautioned the Board about spending a great deal of time on this activity for an amount of money representing roughly 5% of the total budget.

Mrs. Siena stated that this prioritization happens more at the building level on a regular basis. Mrs. Siena's goal is to insure that adequate resources are available to drive the process.

Mr. Pryma stated that this is a daily conversation in the building.

Mr. Boron asked if Mr. Pryma believed that there is not a need for this.

Mr. Pryma stated that he believes that the buildings are in a good place right now.

Mr. Martin asked for clarification regarding the initiative to raise the level of employee engagement.

Dr. Riggle stated that this is similar to the initiative relative to students.

Mr. Martin asked why this initiative is on the list.

Dr. Riggle stated that the genesis relates to the fact that the district has not gathered data on how well our employees function in connection with district resources. As the administration provides services for the staff, we would like to know if efforts are effective and if working conditions are conducive to enhance teachers' performance.

Mr. Martin if the survey of staff falls under this item.

Dr. Riggle described the survey developed by a consultant. He stated that the administration is working with the company to make some changes in the survey. Dr. Riggle provided examples of concerns with the survey such as the number of questions. There was a staff survey completed in June from which results will be obtained and the administration has been presenting other data on the dashboard reports. Staff had never been surveyed about their job. The goal is to establish a baseline measure of staff engagement.

Dr. Caliendo described the work of the consultant relative to their work with schools.

Mr. Martin asked, from a building perspective, where the need for knowing about how happy teachers are was coming.

Dr. Riggle stated that this all emanated from Mr. Martin's suggestions.

Dr. Riggle stated that there is a concern about how far a survey will drill-down relative to the identification of respondents.

Dr. Riggle stated that we will have feedback from the June survey shortly. This will be a good survey for the Board to look at.

Mr. Shein requested a copy of the survey.

Mr. Taub stated that there will be some people who don't respond accurately.

Riggle stated that the survey given in June may get us what we want.

Mr. Shein asked how we compare to other districts relative to what they are using for a survey.

Mr. Taub shared his experience from a corporate perspective in which he was promised the results of a survey and never obtained the results. He spoke to the importance of getting the results out and shared back to the staff.

Dr. Riggle expressed concern about the timing of a survey relative to negotiations.

Mr. Taub asked if the feedback regarding energy savings was provided to the building maintenance staff.

Dr. Riggle confirmed that feedback was provided.

Mr. Shein asked about the administrative compensation initiative.

Dr. Riggle stated that this does not have to be a district initiative to continue to work in that area.

Mr. Shein suggested removing this initiative.

Mr. Boron referenced the communications section about getting out the message. He stated that there has not been enough coverage of charitable projects that benefit the community from clubs and activities. He suggested a methodology of showing the benefits that our students are conferring on the community. The level of community service that is going on should be emphasized.

Dr. Riggle explained that these initiatives were taken from the three-year communication plan.

Ms. Geddeis stated that various themes may emerge as we move towards a branding campaign.

Dr. Riggle explained that branding is the identification of messages that we want the community to know.

Mr. Taub suggested a mechanism to gauge the level of message delivery.

Dr. Riggle described the crisis plan relative to communication.

Dr. Regalbuto stated that this is more the communication piece of emergency response. She asked if there was an alternative media for communication if power goes out.

Ms. Geddeis described means of communication in the event of a power or phone outage.

Dr. Riggle described alternate village communication options that would enhance communication efforts in a crisis.

Mr. Hammer stated that he appreciated the student wellness topic that was added to district initiatives. He suggested I.S.'s of PE/Health be part of the committee charged with this initiative.

Dr. Riggle stated that he will revise the district initiatives and bring them back to the Board on August 27th. Dr. Riggle stated that he plans to convey the district initiatives to staff next week.

Mr. Martin asked if the Board will hear more on August 27th or in September about the survey.

Dr. Riggle confirmed that more could be shared at that time. He stated that he appreciated the Board's feedback.

DISCUSSION/ACTION: EMPLOYEE DENTAL PLAN 2012-2013

Mrs. Siena stated that at the last Board meeting she presented and discussed the dental plan & shared the MOU from the teachers' contract regarding the Cost Containment Committee to provide history and scope.

Mrs. Siena explained what is currently provided with regard to the dental plan and rationale for the bid for a silent PPO. She explained the bid process. The district is self-insured but bid a fully insured plan for purposes of comparison. She discussed the components of bids that were considered.

Mrs. Siena recommended that the district remain with Blue Cross Blue Shield for the duration of the two-year bid and defer future changes to the Cost Containment Committee.

Mr. Taub stated that medical is only referenced relative to cost containment and asked if dental was also included.

Mrs. Siena stated that this does include dental, as well.

Mr. Boron asked if the Board approves this contract how this affects the collective bargaining process.

Mrs. Siena stated that the district can go out to bid any time. The staff was informed that the administration was looking at bids.

Mr. Taub asked who pays the fee and the claims.

Mrs. Siena stated that the district pays the claims and the fees.

Mr. Shein asked why there was a big difference in the cost of claims.

Mr. Taub explained that when you start a plan today they are claims that have not yet been paid.

Mrs. Siena described the impact of a disincentive plan.

Mrs. Siena stated that the benefits from the bid did come with a reduced fee.

Dr. Riggle asked the Board about tweaks and adjustment to the health care plan. He asked if the Board wants those to come forward on an annual basis or if the Board wants to review these changes before they are announced to the staff.

Mr. Taub stated that the Board wants to see the proposed change before it is shared with the teachers.

Mrs. Siena asked about the Board taking formal action.

Dr. Riggle suggested that there is no need for Board action this evening.

Mr. Taub commented on the solid insurance broker that is being used by the district.

Mr. Shein confirmed that the administration will bring any proposed changes in insurance to the Board before finalizing items with the teachers.

Mr. Taub asked if a dental HMO would be considered.

Mrs. Siena confirmed that this will be considered.

MISCELLANEOUS TOPICS

Mrs. Siena distributed a chart as a follow-up from the last meeting relative to operating fund balance levels throughout the year. She explained the fluctuations in fund balance due to property tax collections.

Mr. Shein asked for some clarification regarding the chart.

Mr. Taub asked about the property tax base.

Mrs. Siena stated that the tax base is five billion dollars.

Mr. Shein asked about investments.

Mrs. Siena stated that these are primarily certificates of deposit with the majority having an early withdrawal penalty. The district does have some liquid investments, as well.

Mrs. Siena explained the differences in comparison to the audit which is on an accrual basis.

Mr. Shein asked about the balance shown in the CAFR.

Mrs. Siena stated that she was not sure of the balance in the CAFR.

Mr. Shein questioned the rationale for maintaining the size of the fund balance that the district maintains.

Mrs. Siena referenced the month of September where there would be a need for the fund balance.

Mr. Shein asked if the district falls below the Board threshold of 33% if it means that the district would have to borrow money.

Mrs. Siena stated that the importance of the fund balance is to be able to respond to a potential problem in the future and to sustain the district over a period of time.

Mr. Shein asked if the policy requiring 33% reserves means that this percent of operating funds must be maintained at any time in the year.

Mrs. Siena clarified that this is in reference to how the district ends the year.

Mr. Shein suggested examining the rationale for the fund balance if no potential future problem would challenge the level in the

fund balance because the district doesn't need to maintain this balance to operate. It is a lot of taxpayer dollars we are sitting on. Mr. Shein stated that we never come close to a problem in paying our bills.

Dr. Riggle stated that it is better be able to hold that level of fund balance for 5 years because the district cannot turn on a dime without going to back to the taxpayers for a rate increase.

Mr. Taub stated that the value of this fund balance is the AAA bond rating. If the fund balance is not maintained, the whole concept of what you have here would end.

Mr. Shein stated that there is a disconnect because we are being prudent in how we spend so it is an ever increasing fund balance. We continue to budget conservatively.

Dr. Riggle stated that this is a good question to have periodically.

Mr. Boron stated that the differential in the taxes paid is insignificant to the impact on property values.

Dr. Riggle stated that with this fund balance the district would maintain the level of quality and never have to go for a referendum again.

MOTION TO MOVE INTO CLOSED SESSION

Motion by Mr. Boron, seconded by Mr. Hammer to move into closed session at approximately 9:45 p.m. To consider the placement of individual students in special education programs and other matters relating to individual students; and litigation, when an action against, affecting or on behalf of the particular public body has been filed and is pending before a court or administrative tribunal, or when the public body finds that an action is probable or imminent, in which case the basis for the finding shall be recorded and entered into the minutes of the closed meeting (Section 2(c)(10) and (11) of the Open Meeting Act).

Upon calling of the roll:

aye: Boron, Hammer, Regalbuto, Shein, Taub

Nay: none

Motion carried 5-0.

The Board returned to open session at 10:17 p.m.

18
8/13/12

Dr. Riggle reported that he is starting to receive applications for the Board opening.

Dr. Riggle reviewed the potential Board agenda for August 27th.

Mr. Shein stated that he planned to speak at opening day at GBN on Tuesday, August 21st.

ADJOURNMENT

Motion by Mr. Boron, seconded by Mr. Taub to adjourn the meeting at approximately 10:22 p.m.

Upon call for a vote on the motion, all present voted aye.*

Motion carried 5-0.

* Boron, Hammer, Regalbuto, Shein, Taub

CERTIFIED TO BE CORRECT:

PRESIDENT - BOARD OF EDUCATION

SECRETARY - BOARD OF EDUCATION

UPCOMING BOARD MEETINGS:

Upcoming meetings will be held at
Glenbrook South High School
Student Center
4000 W. Lake Avenue
Glenview, IL 60026

Monday, August 27, 2012	7:00 p.m.	Regular Board Meeting
Monday, Sept. 10, 2012	7:00 p.m.	Regular Board Meeting